September 19, 2019

The Honorable Chuck Grassley Chairman Committee on Finance U.S. Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Ron Wyden Ranking Member Committee on Finance U.S. Senate 219 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510 The Honorable Richard Neal Chairman Committee on Ways and Means U.S. House of Representatives 1102 Longworth HOB Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Kevin Brady Ranking Member Committee on Ways and Means U.S. House of Representatives 1102 Longworth HOB Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Grassley, Ranking Member Wyden, Chairman Neal, and Ranking Member Brady:

We are writing today to ask that you protect American farmers' and ranchers' ability to continue to use the cash method of accounting. The vast majority of American farm and ranch businesses use cash accounting to level out the highs and lows of commodity prices and input costs. Cash accounting assures that a farm or ranch business will not be taxed for a sale of agricultural products for which they have not been paid.

Unfortunately, the IRS has placed into question whether American farmers and ranchers who own their businesses through S corporations, trusts, and certain limited liability companies will be able to continue to use the cash method of accounting or whether the IRS will penalize them and require them to pay back taxes for having relied on this method of accounting.

In February of 2017, the IRS issued an Action on Decision letter ("AOD") in response to the IRS' loss in the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals in *Burnett Ranches, Ltd. v. U.S.* (5th Cir. 2014). AOD 2017-17 places a cloud over thousands of legitimate agricultural businesses and threatens the livelihoods of American farm and ranch families. It does so by calling into question the accounting methods traditionally used by agriculture and exposing farmers and ranchers to needless litigation with the IRS.

Under the Internal Revenue Code, a farm or ranch may be declared a "farming syndicate" and lose its ability to use the cash method of accounting if less than 65% of ownership is held by "active" farmers.¹

In *Burnett Ranches*, the IRS argued that a multi-generational rancher who managed her ranch was not an "active" farmer because she had structured her ranch such that her interest in the ranch was held by an S Corporation that she owned. The IRS reasoned that the IRC section exempting active farmers only applies to individuals and, since the owner in *Burnett Ranches* held her interest through an intermediary S corporation, she could not avail herself of the active farming exceptions.

¹ More specifically, the IRC states that a farm or ranch may be declared a farming syndicate if more than 35% of the farm's losses are allocable to "limited partners or limited entrepreneurs."

This reasoning by the IRS ignores the clear intent of Congress that active farmers should not be declared farming syndicates. This reasoning also ignores the fact that many agricultural operations are structured as S Corporations, trusts, and limited liability companies for a myriad of reasons, including liability protection and inheritance and succession planning. For the thousands of farms and ranches structured in this manner, the consequences of being declared a farming syndicate would be devastating.

Thankfully, both the district court and the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals in *Burnett Ranches* rejected the IRS's interpretation of the farming syndicate provisions in the IRC. Nonetheless, in AOD 2017-17, the IRS indicated that it intends to ignore these rulings outside of the 5th Circuit and may seek back taxes and penalties from farmers and ranchers in other states. In the AOD, the IRS stated: "*We . . . will continue to litigate our position in cases in other circuits.*"

This AOD presents a real threat to farmers and ranchers across the country who have structured their businesses using S corporations, trusts, and certain limited liability companies and who could be forced to defend themselves against costly and time-consuming challenges from the IRS.

To protect farmers and their ability to continue to use the cash method of accounting, we ask that you write to the IRS and request that the IRS formally reverse AOD 2017-17.

We also ask that you explore legislative options to revise the farming syndicate provisions of the IRC. As the 5th Circuit noted in *Burnett Ranches*, the farming syndicate rule "*is less than pellucid on first reading, as it contains many cross-references, is structured with convoluted syntax, and is anything but 'simple and direct'*...". By revising this section of the IRC, Congress can maintain the protections against tax shelters while making it clear that active farms and ranches should not be penalized for using the cash method of accounting if they elect to structure their farm and ranch operations using regarded entities such as S corporations.

We appreciate your consideration of these issues and hope that you will work with us to protect American farm and ranch families and their ability to continue to use the cash method of accounting.

Sincerely,

American Farm Bureau Federation American Soybean Association California Farm Bureau Federation CliftonLarsonAllen, LLP CoBank Farm Credit Council Farmers for Tax Fairness Illinois Farm Bureau Indiana Corn Growers Association Indiana Soybean Alliance Iowa Corn Growers Association Iowa Farm Bureau Federation Kansas Farm Bureau Kansas Livestock Association K-Coe Isom, LLP Minnesota AgriGrowth Minnesota Farm Bureau Federation Missouri Farm Bureau Moss Adams, LLP National Cattlemen's Beef Association National Corn Growers Association National Council of Farmer Cooperatives National Federation of Independent Businesses National Federation of Independent Businesses National Milk Producers Federation National Pork Producers Council Ohio Farm Bureau Federation United Fresh Produce Association Wisconsin Farm Bureau Federation Wyoming Stock Growers Association